

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
10/022,651	12/17/2001	Francis D. Palazzo	4665/6	1856	
56015 PATTERSON	7590 02/22/2007 & SHERIDAN, LLP/	EXAMINER			
SEDNA PATE	NT SERVICES, LLC	TRAN, HAI V			
595 SHREWSI SUITE 100	BURY AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SHREWSBUR	Y, NJ 07702	2623			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	02/22/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			10/022,651		PALAZZO ET AL.			
		7	Examiner		Art Unit			
	·		Hai Tran		2623			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appea	ars on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN Insions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coming period for reply is specified above, the maximum is reto reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, ca	(a). In no event, h apply and will exp ause the application	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be timing SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>28 Nov</i>	vember 2006					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	, 							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the	application.				•		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· ·	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					•		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or e	election requ	irement.				
Applicati	on Papers				•			
	The specification is objected to by the	o Evaminor						
				phiected to by the F	- - - - - -	•		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
						FR 1.121(d).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				-			
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	for foreign p	riority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* S	see the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of	f the certified	copies not receive	ed.			
Attachmen	· Hel							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) [Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	·		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) 6)	Notice of Informal P Other:	atent Application			

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/28/2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/28/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant Argues, "Fries clearly fails to teach or to suggest where a broadcast advertisement is interspersed within broadcast program or presented within an electronic program guide."

In response, the claim's limitation is written under Markush-type claim; therefore, Fries, in this instant, teaches the broadcast advertisement is presented within an electronic program guide. For example, Figure 6 of Fries shows an EPG page and associated broadcast advertisements (el. 110) within it.

Note, the metadata is formatted with broadcast advertisement for broadcast to the STB (see Col. 6, lines 52-55; lines 66-12). The STB is able to draw/redraw various pages of EPG and to control interactive functions that references to various metadata

associated between EPG pages and associated broadcast advertisement (see Col. 9, lines 33-Col. 10, lines 65; Col. 13, lines 58-65, Col. 18, lines 8-23; Col. 19, lines 15-40).

Applicant further argues, "The Applicants' invention provides advantages over Fries. For example, a user may see a broadcast advertisement for a program they otherwise may not have watches and access EPG features from within the broadcast advertisement, such a for example, setting a reminder or setting a recording program..."

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a user may see a broadcast advertisement for a program they otherwise may not have watches and access EPG features from within the broadcast advertisement) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2623

1. Claims 1, 3-5, and 9-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Fries (US 6317885).

Claim 1, a data structure stored on a computer readable media, the data structure comprising:

One or more data tags, each data tag used to provide information regarding a broadcast advertisement (Fig. 6; Col. 7, lines 34-55) interspersed within the broadcast programs or presented within an EPG (For example, Fries shows an STB draws/redraws various pages of EPG and associated ads presented within it; see Fig. 6 with associated el. 110 (ads)) in which the STB able to control interactive functions that references to various metadata associated between EPG page and associated broadcast advertisement (see Col. 9, lines 33-Col. 10, lines 65; Col. 13, lines 58-65, Col. 18, lines 8-23; Col. 19, lines 15-40).

One or more EPG action tags (i.e., ppv events), each EPG action tag used to define a valid EPG feature (i.e., buy ppv) that may be accessed from within the broadcast advertisement, the EPG feature being related to at least one of the broadcast advertisement and a program (ppv program) associated with the broadcast advertisement (Col. 13, lines 58-65; Col. 18, lines 8-23);

The data structure operatives to provide a link between broadcast advertisement and the EPG to provide access to EPG features defined by the EPG action tags from within the broadcast advertisement (Col. 18, lines 8-23 and Col. 19, lines 15-40), the EPG being represented by a signal generated by a set top terminal (STT) using software programs stored in a memory of the STT (Col. 9, lines 42-55),

Art Unit: 2623

wherein the software programs at the set top terminal interpret the data structure to provide the link and determine EPG controls that is presented and used in conjunction with the broadcast advertisement (browser and its associated API in the STB draws/redraws various pages of EPG along with its associated broadcast advertisement; see Col. 6, lines 35-40; Col. 9, lines 33-Col. 10, lines 65; Col. 13, lines 58-65, Col. 18, lines 8-23; Col. 19, lines 15-40), wherein the data structure is formatted in combination with the broadcast advertisement for broadcast to the set top terminal (Col. 5, lines 35-Col. 6, lines 67; Fries further discloses that the page image, i.e., commercial, could be formatted with video and audio for broadcast based on the configuration of the Advertiser/Broadcaster of the system because the IPF file includes an image tag (video), a program information tag and meta-data tag (audio) in which both the "Ur1" of the image tag and the meta-data tag could be assigned to the corresponding video file and audio file, as shown in Col. 20, lines 32-67+).

Claim 3, limitation "wherein each of the one or more electronic program guide action tags are marked by an opening and a closing tag, the opening and closing tag operative to define a data type for each of the one or more electronic programming action tags" is inherently met by the well known HTML tags using as a delimiter for each action tag or event, as described by Fries in; see Col. 8-15;

Claim 4, "wherein the opening and closing tag enclose the valid electronic program guide feature in order to delimit the valid electronic program guide feature

Art Unit: 2623

from another valid electronic program guide feature." is further met by Fries because it is an inherently well-known feature of the standard HTML Tag using as a delimiter for each EPG feature (i.e., buy ppv), as described by Fries; see Col. 8-15;

Claim 5, Fries further discloses wherein the valid electronic program guide feature (i.e., buy ppv) comprises an electronic program guide action parameter (i.e., set time to record/remind when the ppv movie is available) of a data type (ppv movie) corresponding to the opening and closing tag (Col. 18, lines 7-65).

Claim 9, Fries further discloses wherein the EPG action parameter comprises ppv purchase information (Col.18, 18-22).

Claim 10, Fries further discloses wherein the EPG action parameter comprises information to set a future reminder for a program (Col. 18, lines 15-18 and lines 45 of table, same col. 18);

Claims 11 and 12, Fries further discloses wherein the EPG action parameter comprises a network address, wherein the network address is an Internet address (Col. 18, lines 24-30).

Claim 13-16, limitations "wherein the one or more data tags comprises ppy information, wherein the ppv information comprises program date information,

Art Unit: 2623

wherein the ppv information comprises program time information, wherein the ppv information comprises program channel information" is inherently met by Fries because ppv information inherently has these claimed limitation in order for the user to recognize the title of the ppv movie, the date and time of the ppv movie is available for buying and of course the channel associate with the ppv movie for the user to tune to (col. 18, limes 10-20).

Claim 17, Fries further discloses wherein the one or more data tags comprise a unique advertisement identifier (see Fig. 6 with unique page elements thereon; Col. 6, lines 65-Col. 9, lines 35);

Claim 18, Fries further discloses wherein the identifier comprises a key to locate additional program information from a set of guide data (see Fig. 6 with unique page elements thereon, in which the user could select one of the element to obtain additional information regarding the selected element; Col. 6, lines 65-Col. 9, lines 35);

Claim 19, Fries further discloses wherein the one or more data tags comprise a unique product identifier (see Fig. 6, i.e. Market report);

Claim 20, Fries further discloses wherein the identifier comprises a key to locate additional program information from a set of guide data (see Fig. 6 with

Application/Control Number: 10/022,651 Page 8

Art Unit: 2623

unique page elements thereon, in which the user could select one of the element to obtain additional information regarding the selected element; Col. 6, lines 65-Col. 9, lines 35);

Claim 21, the method claim is analyzed with respect to claim 1.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fries
 (US 6317885) in view of Lawler et al. (US 5805763).

Claim 6, 7, and 8, Fries indicates that a PPV program (broadcast advertisement) could be set to be recorded, but fail to indicate the location to record such as a local location or a remote location to record/store the PPV program (broadcast advertisement).

Lawler discloses in response to a user selection for recording, the system set a record tag which indicates the location for recording the program selected, i.e., locally or remote location (Col. 2, lines 15-30 and Col. 13, lines 14-32). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fries with Lawler so to give to user options to store information at different location as desired.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/022,651 Page 9

Art Unit: 2623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7305. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher S. Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ht: ht 02/09/2007

HAITRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER